




05-MC-05029-ORD

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

RICHARD ROY SCOTT,

Plaintiff,

v.

WALTER WEINBERG, *et al.*,

Defendants.

No. MS05-5029

ORDER

This matter comes before the Court *sua sponte*. In response to a proposed complaint filed by plaintiff on January 18, 2006 (Dkt. # 23), the Court noted a deficiency in the form of an accompanying declaration and ordered plaintiff to submit a revised declaration, signed and dated, with the language "I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct" (Dkt. # 25). The revised declaration was due on or before March 15, 2006, and plaintiff was expressly advised that "[f]ailure to submit a revised declaration that remedies the deficiency discussed herein will result in the rejection of plaintiff's January 18, 2006, filing."¹ Dkt. # 25.

¹ Plaintiff has mailed at least two documents directly to the undersigned which suggest that he believes the January 18, 2006, proposed complaint had been accepted for filing. See attached Letter (dated March 13, 2006) and Writ of Mandamus (dated March 16, 2006). The Court did not accept the proposed complaint and in fact directed the Clerk of Court to take no further action with regards to this matter unless and until further ordered by the Court.

ORDER

1 On or about February 22, 2006, plaintiff submitted a "Praecipe" regarding the
2 issuance of summons (Dkt. # 26 and 30). Attached thereto is what appears to be plaintiff's
3 attempt to comply with the Court's February 13, 2006, order. Plaintiff states, *in toto*, that
4 "Pursuant to, and in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 1746, the laws of the United States, and the
5 State of Washington, Affiant Richard Roy Scott declares under penalty of perjury, the foregoing
6 statements are true and correct. in my declaration in Scott v. Weinberg, MS 5029." The
7 declaration is dated March 14, 2002, and signed by plaintiff. Although the signature under
8 penalty of perjury is not appended to the "foregoing statements" and the date is obviously
9 incorrect, the Court will accept the January 18, 2006, proposed complaint for filing. Plaintiff is
10 hereby warned, however, that future failures to submit a single, signed affidavit under penalty of
11 perjury with the initial proposed filing will result in the rejection of the proposed complaint. The
12 Clerk of shall docket this order in MC05-5029 and open a new cause of action containing all
13 documents related to plaintiff's January 18, 2006, complaint (Dkt. # 23-26).

14
15 DATED this 24th day of March, 2006.

16
17
18 

19 Robert S. Lasnik
20 Chief Judge, United States District Court

Judge hasnik, 3-13-06

In an effort to stop our
SCC litigation SCC has again
taken my computer. Since

I'm pro se in my civil
commitment case and all
records, ~~including~~ including dis-
covery, this stops that
case also. (5th search and seizure)

That aside you Ok my
Scott v Weinberg last month.
Well nothing has happen since.

Judge Stromborn is playing "See
how far I can go and
not get spanked". So

childish. My remanded
Scott v Selig (A year
ago) still is in a forever
Judge Stromborn stay. I filed
another writ of Mandamus.
At what point do you
realize she has a bias and
that is breaking the Law: Our
Constitutional Right to Access
to the courts that is effective
and meaningful?

Now, Sir

CC Jonathan Martin
Seattle Times
1-206-673-2326
Nolan MSNBC
1-201-253-4308

Richard Scott Esq Profe
1-253-584-9606

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT TACOMA

RICHARD ROY SCOTT

C. _____

Writ of Mandamus
to CHIEF Judge
Lasnike
v
Walter Weinberg et al

CHIEF Judge Lasnik OK my going
ahead with this case. But the
Tacoma District Court is refusing to
abide by his order.

We ask the court to mandate and
direct again the service of this complaint.

3-16-06

R. Scott
Richard Scott Profe
Box 88600
Seattle WA 98108
98388

Cleak, Judge Lasnik,

No cause number HAS been issued.

Judge Lasnik used on of his MS
cause numbers but the took my
computer so I don't have that.

3-16-06

Rfcot

Richard Scott Profe